CORRES, CONTROL DUTGOING LTR. NO.

9 9 RF 2190

DIST.	LT.	
NCHINI, D.J.		ľ
DER, C.P.		ĺ
FURDT, R.J.	\mathbf{X}	ĺ
INTZ, E.R.		
DOD, R.C.		
EKER, E.H.		
ZER. J.E.		
BY, W.A.		
NETT, J.F.		
YERS, G.W.		
ECKER, J.H.		Ĺ
- ANNON, W.M.		Ĺ
ESTON, W.F.		t
DZNIAK, B.D.		
UNG. E.R.		
		Ĺ
		Ĺ
		Ĺ
		ľ
TCHER, D.H.		
SANIVAL, G.J.		Ĺ

RRERA, D.W.

BERT, J.C.

DEFMAN

AMANN, R.L

CKINLEY, K.B.

AIMON, E.R.

EWBY, R.L.

CUDENBURG, G.E

JRNER, H.L.
ELASOUEZ, R.N.

DRRES, CONTROL X X
DNTRACT ADMIN.

DIX CA
HOUSE
SETILICAT
SUMMER AND ADMIN.

LASSIFICATION
NCLASSIFIED
DISTRIBUTIAL
ECRET

AUTH. CLASSIFIER SIG. Anne (Clavia) (- 26 - 89

IN REPLY TO LTR. NO.

EC # JUN DMR





000025414

WS-LT-02087

June 26, 1989

E. S. Goldberg Acting Area Manager DOE, RFAO

Attention: C. C. Jierree

Contractor to U.S. Department of Energy

MONTHLY MONITORING REPORT - NPDES PERMIT NO. CO-0001333

(303) 966-7000

Enclosed is a letter which is required by the Rocky Flats NPDES Permit program. The EPA has determined that it is no longer necessary to send blank forms when no discharge has occurred. There was no discharge from Pond B-3 (discharge 001) during the month of May, 1989. Attached is the Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR) for the discharge of Pond A-3 (discharge 002) during the month of May, 1989.

There are three issues that have transpired during the recent and ongoing Justice Department investigations and in the course of resolution of disputed issues regarding the EPA's proposed NPDES Federal Facilities Compliance Agreement (FFCA). One of the issues raised during the Justice Department investigations involves the flow of seeps from the hillside below the spray irrigation fields into the Woman Creek drainage. Field surveys indicate the seeps originate from ground water probably recharged from spray irrigation activities. This flow may be considered a nonpoint source discharge and therefore does not require a point source discharge permit. The second issue raised during the Justice Department investigation involves additional nonpoint source discharges from the domestic wastewater treatment systems at the (outer) east and west guard posts.

The third issue to be resolved by discussions between DOE and the EPA regards the statement by EPA in the Proposed NPDES Federal Facilities Compliance Agreement (FFCA), Docket Number FFCA-CWA-89-2, paragraph 3, page 2 referencing the chromic acid incident as a violation of the NPDES permit. There are a number of discrepancies between the allegation made by the EPA regarding designation of the chromic acid incident as a violation of the NPDES permit and the authorization to discharge provided by the EPA in the letter from EPA's Max Dodson to

ADMIN RECCRD

E. S. Goldberg Page 2 June 26, 1989

Albert Whiteman, ref. 8WM-C. For example, the FFCA states that "DOE does not have approval to dispose of chromic acid through outfalls 001, 006, 007, or the Upper Church Ditch." The letter providing approval to discharge Pond B-5 to the Upper Church Ditch, referenced above, sets limits on that discharge for any single sample of 0.05 mg/l total chromium. In addition, there was no discharge through outfall 001 (Pond B-3). The water was spray irrigated during this time period. The water in Pond C-2 (discharge 007) was 24-hour composite sampled during the discharge in April, 1989. The total chromium analyses ranged from <0.01 mg/l to 0.012 mg/l over the sixday discharge period. The pond system is included in the currently approved (by EPA) Spill Prevention, Control, and Countermeasures/ Best Management Practices Plan (SPCC/BMP) as designated spill control holding ponds (page 27 "Holding Ponds"). It is Rockwell's view that the chromic acid that was accidentally released to the environment was held as designated in the SPCC/BMP plan until natural biological treatment in the holding ponds provided for a release to the "Waters of the U. S." that met applicable federal and state water quality standards.

It is necessary that the Principal Executive Officer sign and date the letter and the information be sent to the following people by June 28, 1989.

Mr. Pat Godsil, Chief Compliance Branch Water Management Division U. S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region VIII One Denver Place 999 - 18th Street, Suite 500 Denver, CO 80202-2413 E. S. Goldberg Page 3 June 26, 1989

Colorado Department of Health Attention: Stanley V. May Monitoring and Enforcement Section Water Quality Division 4210 East Eleventh Avenue Denver, CO 80220

G. H. Setlock, Manager Environment and Health Programs

Orig. and 1 cc: E. S. Goldberg Enc.

DRAFT DRAFT DRAFT

Mr. Pat Godsil, Chief Compliance Branch Water Management Division U. S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region VIII One Denver Place 999 - 18th Street, Suite 500 Denver, CO 80202-2413

Colorado Department of Health Attention: Stanley V. May Monitoring and Enforcement Section Water Quality Division 4210 East Eleventh Avenue Denver, CO 80220

This letter constitutes the monthly report as required by Rocky Flats NPDES Permit CO-0001333. There were no violations of the NPDES Permit during May, 1989.

During the month of May water was spray irrigated from Pond B-3. Pond A-3 (Discharge 002) was discharged May 23, 1989 through May 26, 1989. The Reverse Osmosis Pilot Plant (Discharge 003) was not operated. The Reverse Osmosis Plant (Discharge 004) did not discharge to offsite waters. Pond B-5 discharge to the Upper Church Ditch was initiated on April 24, 1989. Total discharge from Pond B-5 to the Upper Church Ditch through May 26, 1989 was 14,765,815 gallons.

The water quality information for the discharge of Pond B-5 to the Upper Church Ditch was submitted to you recently as required.

HAME USDOE-RUCKY FLATS PLANT DISCHARGE MONITORING REPORT (DAIR) F - FINAL (17.19) . 12-161 ADDRESSP U BOX 928 CU0001333 002 A DISCHARGE FROM POND A=3 GOLDEN CU 80401 PERMIT NUMBER DISCHARGE NUMBER MONITORING PERIOD YEAR MO YEAR MO DAY LOCATION F 12 O M RY 89 05 31 MAJOR 05 01 NOTE: Read instructions before completing this form. ATTN: JAMES R NICKS, AREA MANAGER (20.21) (22.23) (24.25) 126-271 128-291 130-311 QUALITY OR CONCENTRATION I Card Only) QUANTITY OR LOADING (4 Card Only) DEDUENCY SAMPL (46-53) (54-61) (38-45) PARAMETER (54.61) OF TYPE (32-37) MINIMUM AVERAGE MAXIMUM UNITS AVERAGE MAXIMUM HINITS 62-63) (64-68) (69-70 **** PII ***** ***** SAMPLE 7.6 4/301 GRAB 7.0 MEASUREMENT 6.0 9.0 DVILA GRAB **** ***** 00400 0 0 出来来来来来 PERMIT REQUIREMENT MAXIMUM SU EFFLUENT GRUSS VALUE MINIMUM *** HITROGEN. NTTRATE ***** ***** ***** SAMPLE 4.29 4/30 4.16 MEASUREMENT TOTAL (AS D) 20 DAILY GRAH **** ា ព 00620 1 0 U ***** PERMIT REQUIREMENT VA AU OE DAILY MX MG/L *** EFFLUENT GROSS VALUE ***** **** FLOW, IN CONDUIT OR SAMPLE 4/30 TNS MEASUREMENT 2.093 2,462 THRU TREATMENT PLANT DAILY INSTA REPORT REPORT **** 50050 1 0 U PERMIT REQUIREMENT *** DAILY MX MGD 30 DA AV EFFLUENT GRUSS VALUE SAMPLE MEASUREMENT PERMIT REQUIREMENT SAMPLE MEASUREMENT PERMIT REQUIREMENT CAMDIE MEASUREMENT PERMIT REQUIREMENT SAMPLE MEASUREMENT PERMIT REQUIREMENT DATE TELEPHONE NAME/TITLE PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE OFFICER AND AM FAMILIAR WITH THE INFORMATION SUBMITTED HEREIN, AND BASED ON MY INQUIRY OF THOSE INDIVIDITALS IMMEDIATELY RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING THE INFORMATION I BELIEVE THE SUBMITTED INFORMATION IS TRUE ACCURATE AND COMPLETE I AM AWARE THAT THERE ARE SIG NILICANT PENALTIES FOR SUBMITTING FALSE INFORMATION INCLUDING SIGNATURE OF PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE 33 USC \$1319 (Penalties under these statutes may include fines up to \$10,000 and or maximum imprisonment of between 6 months and 5 years) NUMBER OFFICER OR AUTHORIZED AGENT TYPED OR PRINTED COMMENT AND EXPLANATION OF ANY VIOLATIONS (Reference ull attachments here) IF NO DISCHARGE, RPT "NO DISCHARGE". MONITORING REQ'D ONLY WHEN THERE IS A DISCHARGE OR BYPASS-SEE PERMIT. THERE SHALL PE NO DSCHRG OF FLOATING SOLIDS IN OTHER THAN TRACE AMTS. COMPOSITE SAMPLING FOR

NATIONAL POLLUTANT DISCHARGE LUMBER OFF

PERMITTEE HAME (ADDRESS I Include